

#### **IV. GENERAL COMMENT AS TO REMEDIES PURSUED BEFORE AUTHORITY, 16.**

Although the case is subject to appeal at the High Court, the alleged violations of the Conventions relates to de facto events and situations which the Applicant has been subjected to during the past 3,5 years. Many decisions during the lower Court proceedings cannot themselves be subject to redress at higher court.

With regards to the Complaint A and B, the List of Court Decisions on Remand in Custody (and solitary confinement) set out the dates. In addition to this list, High Court Decisions (16.2.) set out the various dates of e decisions which have become final, in view that the Ministry of Justice did not permit any appeal to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Decisions (16.3.) from May 14, 1980 relates to the Complaint C., and the decision on the January 26, 1983 relates to Complaint A, B, and F.

The Applicant would under normal conditions, where the proceedings is not so large and lengthy, be able to bring the case to the highest authority, however this is not possible, in fact it could take another 4-5 years before the Supreme Court will be able to deal with the case.

The defence counsel has protested time after time and made applications to the Ministry of Justice, as to bringing various decisions to the Supreme Court – however with one exception failed to obtain permission from the Ministry.

Many questions as to redress has been taken by the Defence counsel to the Ministry of Justice, this has been complaints as to prejudice, treatment and working conditions for the defence. Since these numbers so many, they can only be seen from the correspondence (see VII 21.b.) and marked on the List of Documents, as to the relevant violations.

In view that the civil proceedings is an integrated part of the Applicant's complaint to the Commission and the Danish authorities has deliberately kept the criminal and civil proceedings far apart (as such), all decisions and judgment taken in relation to the companies and MH at the Commercial Court should be viewed by the Commission